

SpaceOfTron contract audit

Revision 1 of 10.25.2020

Table of contents

SpaceOfTron contract audit	1
Table of contents	2
Brief information	3
Data	3
General conclusion	3
Liability disclaimer	3
Aggregated data	4
Received data	4
A. Errors.....	4
B. Remarks.....	4
1. Warning: there is a probability of losing bonuses and dividends.	4
C. Improvements	4
Appendix. Error classification	5
Appendix. Bytecode hash sum	6
Appendix. Audit summary signature	7

Brief information

Project: spaceoftron.com

Web: TRON

Compiler version: 0.5.10 + commit.a1d534e

Optimization: enabled

The audit date: 10.25.2020

Data

The contract code was reviewed and analyzed for vulnerabilities, logical errors, and the developers' exit scams possibility. This work was carried out concerning the project source code provided by the customer.

The audit revealed:

- Logical error
- The discrepancy between declared and actual behavior
- Other comments

The detected problems full list can be found below.

General conclusion

As the audit result is 1 comment were identified that did not affect the users' funds security the smart-contract. There were no exit scam obvious signs. No bugs or security breach were found either. Comments and improvements are related to the contract non-optimized work and are recommendatory. Remark can lead to the fact that the user will receive less funds for withdrawal than expected, but this behavior occurs only if the contract has less funds than the user has to withdraw. The recommendation is to check the balance of the contract before withdrawing.

Telescr.in guarantees the SpaceOfTron contract security and performance.

Liability disclaimer

The telescr.in team within this audit framework is not responsible for the developers or third parties actions on the platforms associated with this project (websites, mobile applications, and so on). The audit confirms and guarantees only the smart contract correct functioning in the revision provided by the project developers ([check the revision](#)).

[Digitally signed.](#)

Aggregated data

The Contract analysis was performed using the following methods:

- Static analysis
 - Checking the code for common errors leading to the most common vulnerabilities
- Dynamic analysis
 - The Contract Launching and carrying out the attacks various kinds to identify vulnerabilities
- Code Review

Received data

Recommendation	Type	Priority	Occurrence probability
Warning: there is a probability of losing bonuses and dividends	Remarks	Informational	Medium

A. Errors

No errors were found in this revision.

B. Remarks

1. Warning: there is a probability of losing bonuses and dividends

During the withdrawing process the contract's balance can be less than required amount to withdraw. In this case whole balance of the contract will be withdrawn. However, the debited bonuses won't be back. The same point also applies to the value 'withdrawn'(a property of the Deposit) which is affecting possibility of withdrawing.

C. Improvements

No improvements were found in this revision.

Appendix. Error classification

Priority	
<i>Informational</i>	This question is not directly related to functionality but may be important to understand.
<i>Low</i>	This question has nothing to do with security, but it can affect some behaviour in unexpected ways.
<i>Average</i>	The problem affects some functionality but does not result in an economically significant user funds loss.
<i>high</i>	This issue can result in the user funds loss.
Probability	
<i>Low</i>	It is unlikely that the system is in a state in which an error could occur or could be caused by any party.
<i>Average</i>	This problem may likely arise or be caused by some party.
<i>high</i>	It is highly likely that this problem could arise or could be exploited by some parties.

Appendix. Bytecode hash sum

The audit was carried out for the code certain version on the compiler version 0.5.10 + commit.a1d534e with the optimization enabled.

To check the contract bytecode for identity to the one that was analysed during the audit, you must:

1. Get contract bytecode (in any block explorer)
2. [Get SHA1 from bytecode string](#)
3. Compare with reference in this report
4. Or check sha1 on site telescr.in

Sha1 from bytecode:

b5d14a658eb92b8ae4507f0cb5b2283bed369738 (without metadata)

2142c0598dc738a7809b819a22faa3635f8b78a4 (with metadata)

Contract address (TRON network): TQwBFazacAr2XLkUwpgZU2NzzFwEtPdF2P

[Check hash sum](#)

Appendix. Audit summary signature

```
{  
  "address": "0x505ade8cea4db608250e503a5e8d4cb436044d2e",  
  "msg": "As the audit result is 1 comment were identified that did not  
affect the users' funds security the smart-contract. There were no exit  
scam obvious signs. No bugs or security breach were found either. Comments  
and improvements are related to the contract non-optimized work and are  
recommendatory. Remark can lead to the fact that the user will receive  
less funds for withdrawal than expected, but this behavior occurs only if  
the contract has less funds than the user has to withdraw. The  
recommendation is to check the balance of the contract before withdrawing.  
Telescr.in guarantees the SpaceOfTron contract security and performance.  
Sha1 from bytecode: b5d14a658eb92b8ae4507f0cb5b2283bed369738 (without  
metadata), 2142c0598dc738a7809b819a22faa3635f8b78a4 (with metadata)  
Contract address (TRON network): TQwBFazacAr2XLkUwpgZU2NzzFwEtPdF2P",  
  "sig":  
"0xe12f731c2d830dad4ea1402bb1266cb73f140904f09225b2202cd0268ec8dfe0a6a13c  
845e98a3f8ff063783c80779368fb726962e5d3dbcc7ceb9fab7d03201b",  
  "version": "3"  
}
```

[Check signature](#)